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**ABSTRACT**

Rheumatoid arthritis is a degenerative disease characterized by chronic inflammation of peripheral joints. The first line of treatment involves the use of potent anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive drugs, leading to an overall suppression of the immune system. However, these drugs do not induce sustained remission and their use can cause immunosuppression that leads to severe complications. Thus, there is a need for developing new therapeutic alternatives for the treatment of this disease. Antigen-specific therapies allow the elimination of pathogenic cells without affecting the immune system’s ability to respond to infections. Within this approach heat stress proteins are promising candidates. Although progress has been made in the development of efficient antigen-specific therapies, the excellent results obtained in animal models have been difficult to translate to humans. The combined use of antigen-specific therapy with current drugs can be an attractive strategy in the near future to achieve the complete remission of the disease. Some of these combinations have already begun to be evaluated in animal models and in rheumatoid arthritis patients.
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**Introduction**

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA), a systemic autoimmune disease most prevalent among adults between the fourth and fifth decade of life, currently affects 1% of the world population. Its primary clinical manifestation is the inflammation of peripheral joints, usually following a symmetrical pattern and exhibiting a chronic and progressive evolution that is characterized by periods of activity and remissions [1]. This disease produces a considerable deterioration of the quality of life of affected patients, owing to small part to its chronic nature and the tendency to cause irreversible damage in cartilaginous and bony structures of the joints.

Currently, most RA therapies approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) work by indiscriminately inhibiting all inflammatory activity in the patient. However, it is widely acknowledged that disease prognosis can be significantly improved by early treatment with disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) [2, 3]. These otherwise unrelated compounds are neither painkillers nor anti-inflammatory drugs, but their use reduces, in the long term, the activity and severity of the disorder. Some well-known examples are methotrexate (MTX), leflunomide, antimalarial drugs such as chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, gold salts, D-penicillamine, sulfasalazine and cyclosporin A.

During the nineties, MTX became first-line therapy for RA, propelled by therapeutic successes when combined with other drugs and an acceptable toxicity profile at the dosages used for this indication [4, 5]. MTX, however, is not exactly a wonder drug, as complete remission is achieved in only a fraction of RA cases [6]. In addition, it should be pointed out that the non-selective inhibition of the immune response places the patient at increased risk from infectious diseases and cancer.

---
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Biological therapy, an alternative for patients not responding to MTX or other DMARDs, constitutes the most recent addition to the anti-rheumatic arsenal. Using biologicals to treat this disorder affords the possibility of targeting, in a more specific fashion, only those components playing an important role in the pathogenesis of RA [7, 8].

Modulation of the immune response by blocking the action of molecules involved in the pathogenesis of RA

The last years have witnessed significant advances in the study and understanding of the mechanisms underlying the RA pathogenesis. It is known that the chronic joint inflammation produced by this disorder is induced by activated T cells infiltrating the synovial membrane. According to the most widely accepted hypothesis, damage is mediated through the recognition, by these cells, of a viral or bacterial molecule (or even a self-antigen). The recognition of this hypothetical antigen by CD4+ T-cells, coupled with the stimulation of different cytokines [9], triggers the differentiation of these lymphocytes into Th1 and Th17 phenotypes [10, 11]. Th1 and Th17 lymphocytes in turn secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines that then activate B and further T lymphocytes, macrophages and synovial cells, resulting in even higher levels of secreted cytokines, up-regulated adhesion molecules on cell surfaces and the migration of other inflammatory cells into the affected joint. Interleukin 1 (IL-1) and Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF-α) are among the molecules whose secretion is up-regulated in the inflammatory environment produced by the activation of synovial cells and macrophages, and constitute the principal mediators of joint damage during the disease. These cytokines inhibit osteoblast-driven bone regeneration and stimulate reabsorption by osteoclasts, thereby contributing to the degeneration of bone tissue [9]. Fibroblasts attracted to the synovial membrane by TNF-α and IL-1 secrete, in turn, an array of molecules such as metalloproteases, prostaglandins, IL-6 and IL-8 which, acting in concert, increase the severity of joint damage. For example, metalloproteases play a role in the degeneration of the bone matrix; prostaglandins are pro-inflammatory molecules and IL-8 facilitates neutrophil chemotaxis and angiogenesis. The latter is especially important, in light of the hypoxic state and increased number of cells characterizing the articular space during this disorder. Activated synovial fibroblasts also produce the pro-inflammatory chemokines CCL5 and CXCL1, which actively recruit T and B lymphocytes as well as neutrophils into the synovial tissue. Likewise, they secrete IL-6, thus stimulating the production of acute phase reactants that worsen the inflammatory response. The resulting chronic inflammatory process leads to the proliferation of synovial tissue and the growth of a granular tissue denominated pannus, which exhibits the characteristics of a local multicenter tumor and eventually leads to the erosion of cartilage and bone [12].

As implied above, the term ‘biological agents’ or ‘biological therapy’ denotes complex protein molecules that can target in a very specific manner separate components of the pathogenic cascade leading to RA. These targets may range from cell surface molecules to cytokines or their receptors, also including adhesion or co-stimulation molecules involved in activation or effector mechanisms of the immune system. The figure represents the pathogenic mechanism of RA and the main points targeted by biological therapy.

There are a number of FDA-approved biologicals that can be used to block the main pro-inflammatory cytokines involved in the pathogenesis of RA.

TNF-α inhibitors

TNF-α is a cytokine that plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of RA. This molecule, which binds specific receptors in the surface of cells from most human tissues, is found at high concentrations at the synovial membranes of RA patients. Initial reports described that blocking TNF-α in cultures of synovial tissue from inflamed joints resulted in reductions of the level of many pro-inflammatory mediators, such as IL-1, IL-6, IL-8 and granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor [13]. Although reducing the level of pro-inflammatory molecules is essential for the efficacy of anti-TNF-α drugs, it goes without saying that pro-inflammatory cytokines are also essential for an effective immune response against microorganisms, and their reduction is the underlying cause of the severe adverse events sometimes associated with the use of these agents.

There are five commercially available TNF-α blockers approved for the treatment of RA: Etanercept® (fusion protein containing the soluble TNF-α receptor), Infliximab® (chimeric anti-TNF-α antibody), Adalimumab® (recombinant human anti-TNF-α antibody), Golimumab (humanized anti-TNF-α monoclonal antibody, mAb) and Certolizumab (PEGylated Fab fragment derived from a humanized mAb).

Currently, anti-TNF-α therapy constitutes the most successful treatment course for RA patients. The most recent addition to the anti-rheumatic arsenal.

systemic suppression of TNF-α in this population has been associated, however, with increased susceptibility to infectious diseases, including in particular the reactivation of latent infections such as tuberculosis [14, 15], and a higher incidence of lymphomas, especially when anti-TNF-α drugs are administered in combination with MTX or corticosteroids [15, 16]. Paradoxically, despite the reduced severity of the signs and symptoms of RA offered by this treatment, it seems like blocking TNF-α for a prolonged period may increase the incidence of other autoimmune disorders such as multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus [17, 18]. In addition, this therapy is effective only in 50% of RA cases [19].

IL-1 inhibitor

A single IL-1 inhibitor has been approved by the FDA for the treatment of RA: Anakinra®, an antagonist of the IL-1 receptor indicated for patients where the administration of anti-TNF-α agents has failed to produce a clinically meaningful improvement. The efficacy of this drug, as estimated from early clinical trials, is not as high as that exhibited by TNF-α blockers. Lower efficacy, combined with the need for daily administration, explains why Anakinra® is less frequently used than TNF-α blockers in clinical practice [20].

IL-6 inhibitor

Tocilizumab® is a humanized mAb against the IL-6 receptor that has been shown to reduce the serum levels of vascular endothelial growth factor and protein C in RA patients, decreasing the severity of the signs and symptoms of the disease [21, 22]. The clinical efficacy and side effects associated with this inhibitor are comparable to those of anti-TNF-α drugs.

Other therapeutic strategies have focused on modulating different elements of the adaptive immune response, ranging from antibodies blocking adhesion molecules that play an important role in lymphocyte traffic towards articular tissues to the depletion of T or B cells. Examples of the latter include attempts to delete only pathogenic cell populations (cells expressing OX-40) [23] or to deplete the antigens involved in T-cell signaling, such as the co-stimulatory molecules CD28, CD80 and CLTA-4 [24]. Some of these strategies have been shown to be effective in clinical trials, and have consequently been approved by the FDA for the treatment of RA.

Inhibition of co-stimulation

Abatacept® is a fusion protein composed of the CTLA-4 molecule linked to the constant region of human IgG1. It represents the first example of the class of therapeutic drugs known as selective modulators of co-stimulation. This protein interacts with the CD80 and CD86 antigens at the surface of antigen-presenting cells (APCs), blocking their co-stimulatory interaction with CD28 and inhibiting, consequently, the activation of T lymphocytes. The efficacy of this approach was demonstrated in several clinical trials recruiting RA patients for which previous treatment with MTX had not been effective [25, 26].

B-lymphocyte depletion

Depleting the B-lymphocyte population as a means to reduce or eliminate the cells producing pathogenic autoantibodies is an approach that has been previously tried for a wide range of autoimmune disorders. Rituximab®, a chimeric anti-CD20 mAb, is used in the context of RA. CD20 is a pan-B antigen whose expression is restricted to pre-B and mature B cells. It is absent in pluripotent stem cells, and is lost once B lymphocytes differentiate into plasma cells [27]. A number of clinical trials have shown Rituximab® to be an effective alternative for treating RA patients [28-30].

In general, the use of immunotherapy against this group of molecules represents a conceptual step forward, as it is aimed at modulating elements of the adaptive immune response rather than blocking an individual pathway (a cytokine, for instance). It must be noted, however, that this therapy also fails to produce a complete remission.

Modulation of the activity of synovial fibroblasts

Different studies have revealed that synovial fibroblasts play an important role in the maintenance and persistence of inflammatory conditions at the articular microenvironment [12]. A number of recently developed therapeutic strategies target exactly this cell population, in addition to others that attempt to modulate fibroblast activation –TNF-α blockers, for instance– or to block its main products, using e.g. IL-6 inhibitors. There have also been attempts to target the cellular signaling pathways involved in the activation of these cells; Imatinib, for instance, is an inhibitor specific for a small family of tyrosine kinases that was first shown to modulate the proliferation of synovial fibroblasts in animal RA models [12]. This drug, approved by the FDA for the treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia and gastrointestinal tumors, inhibits several kinases involved in the pathogenesis of RA, such as the receptor for platelet-derived growth factor, which mediates fibroblast proliferation, the c-fms proto-oncogene, which participates in the activation and production of TNF-α in macrophages, and c-kit, which mediates secretion of TNF-α and IL-6. Imatinib was successful in an open study that recruited three patients with severe RA, relapsing to earlier treatments [31], and is currently under evaluation for other autoimmune and chronic inflammatory disorders [32]. Work is also underway for developing treatments targeting phenotypic markers specifically associated with this cell population, or designed to modulate the epigenetic changes these cells undergo at the rheumatoid synovium [12].

A considerable number of studies have addressed the simultaneous use of DMARDs with biological drugs, as the clinical efficacy of this combination with B-lymphocyte depletion therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and other autoimmune disorders. Biochem Soc Trans. 2002; 30(4):824-8.


generally exceeds that of monotherapy. MTX with anti-TNF-α drugs constitutes the most successful and frequently employed combination, as it affords the patients a faster recovery of functional capacity and a slower radiologic progression [33-35].

In spite of their successes, the therapies mentioned above have failed to adequately address the challenge of restoring immune function to the point that the immune system can take over and maintain homeostasis after treatment discontinuation. The current situation, where DMARDs and biological drugs provide acceptable clinical control for most patients, has allowed the research community to shift its focus to strategies aimed at maintaining this disease-free state, and although the tools to achieve such a feat are not yet available, the general consensus points at the induction of immunologic tolerance through the generation of antigen-specific regulatory T cells (Treg) as a possible solution [36].

**Phenotypic and functional characteristics of regulatory T cells**

The term ‘regulatory’ is currently employed to describe different populations of T cells that act over other cells of the immune system in an immunosuppressive fashion, both in vitro and in vivo. These populations play an important role in the maintenance of peripheral tolerance, the control of inflammatory responses against microorganisms, the control of intestinal homeostasis and the suppression of antitumoral immune responses [37, 38].

Regulatory T cells (Treg) can be traced back to two different populations, depending on their origin: natural Tregs and adaptive Tregs [39].

Natural Tregs are generated in the thymus, as a consequence of high-affinity interactions between their T-cell receptor (TCR) and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules on the surface of stromal cells. Their TCR repertoire is every bit as diverse as that of conventional T cells [40]. Natural Tregs, which are released into peripheral compartments with an already stable and completely functional suppressor phenotype [41], account for close to 5% of the total number of CD4+ T lymphocytes [39]. Although they express constitutively the α chain of the IL-2 receptor (CD25), synthesis of this cytokine is barely detectable in Tregs, which therefore depend on exogenous IL-2 for their survival [42]. Other typical phenotypic markers of Tregs include CTLA-4 and the glucocorticoid-induced TNF receptor-related protein, although the one most frequently used for their identification is Foxp3, a transcription factor acting as the master switch of Treg ontology and maintenance. Despite recent studies demonstrating that this marker is transiently overexpressed in activated effector T lymphocytes and cannot, therefore, be considered exclusive for Tregs, Foxp3 is still used as an exclusive Treg marker for mouse cells [43].

Tregs exert their immunosuppressive effect through different mechanisms. Some depend on cell-cell contact and the action of granzymes A and B or perforins, which induce apoptosis in targeted effector T lymphocytes and other cell types such as monocytes and B lymphocytes [44, 45], or on direct contact with dendritic cells, affecting their maturation and functional capacity [46, 47]. Another immunosuppressive mechanism of Tregs is the secretion of soluble factors, including cytokines such as TGF-β [48], IL-10 [49] and IL-35 [50], as well as recently described immunosuppressive molecules such as carbon monoxide and galectin [51, 52].

In addition, and closely related to the addiction for exogenous IL-2 exhibited by peripheral Tregs, a mechanism has been described whereby these cells induce apoptosis on peripheral effector T lymphocytes by depriving them of this cytokine [53].

Adaptive Tregs are generated at peripheral compartments from mature T cell populations, under very specific conditions of antigen exposure. Just like their natural counterparts, they have immunosuppressive properties and fulfill their function through mechanisms involving the secretion of cytokines and cell-cell contact [54]. Based on both genotypic and functional criteria, it has been possible to discern the existence of different subpopulations of adaptive Tregs: type 1 cells, which secrete IL-10, and Th3 cells, producing TGF-β. These cells are essential for maintaining homeostasis at the gastrointestinal tract [55, 56].

**Treg cells and RA**

Treg cells are known to play a fundamental role in preventing the appearance of autoimmune disorders [57, 58]. A number of groups have therefore studied whether alterations in the number or activity of Tregs can contribute to the development of autoimmune diseases. In the specific case of RA, there are reports showing a higher frequency of T cells with a regulatory phenotype in synovial fluid [59-61]. Other studies have determined that RA patients exhibit higher frequencies of Treg cells in synovial fluid than in peripheral compartments, and have demonstrated that these patients have lower numbers of peripheral CD4+ CD25+ Tregs than healthy volunteers [62]. The higher numbers of Treg cells in the inflamed joint do not appear to be associated with disease severity or treatment efficacy [63], despite evidence linking the development of RA with increased frequencies of Treg cells at the synovium [64]. However, it has been demonstrated that the Treg cells of RA patients have suffered functional deterioration, since they are unable to inhibit the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interferon gamma and TNF-α even though they are perfectly competent at suppressing the proliferation of autologous CD4+ CD25+ T cells [65, 66]. This phenomenon might be caused by inhibitory effects mediated by some of the components of the environment of inflamed synovia, including cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-7, IL-15 and IL-6, whose concentration increases in RA patients [67, 68].

**Induction of antigen-specific Treg cells through the mucosal induction of tolerance using self-antigens**

Antigen-specific therapies for RA are aimed at eliminating only those T-cell clones that have escaped the control mechanisms of peripheral tolerance, contributing consequently to disease pathogenesis [69]. In this context, these therapies represent the best approach for manipulating the immune response in RA patients, as they spare the remaining cell populations of Rheumatoid arthritis: Current treatment and perspectives
immune system and must, therefore, be free of the side effects typically associated with general immunosuppression [70].

Antigen-specific tolerance can be induced by using the mucosal route to administer antigens typically found at the site of inflammation [71]. In many experimental models of autoimmune disease, the nasal or oral administration of a pathogenic self-antigen leads to considerable reductions of the severity of symptoms [72-75]. This phenomenon is mediated not only by the neutralization of antigen-specific T cells, but also by the induction of Tregs suppressing the effector functions of self-reactive T cells. The activation of Treg cells through the mucosal route is related to the presence of specialized APCs with tolerogenic properties at the gastrointestinal tract [76]. Antigen presentation at the gut takes place in the absence of co-stimulatory signals or accompanied by the expression of co-inhibitory signals in these APCs [77, 78]. Several studies, for instance, have shown that the ingestion of an antigen triggers the induction of TGF-β-secreting Th3 cells. Other groups have reported the induction of oral tolerance mediated by IL-10-secreting CD4+ CD25+ Treg cells [79-81].

There is experimental evidence suggesting that once activated, the suppressive activity of Tregs is not antigen-specific, targeting not only T cells stimulated by the same antigen but also activated CD4+ or CD8+ T-cell bystanders of unrelated specificities [82], probably through the secretion of inhibitory cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β. The fact that the induction of antigen-specific tolerance using Tregs was not restricted to a single antigenic specificity called hoppes concerning the efficacy of this alternative, which was expected to deliver a convincing performance in clinical settings [36].

However, despite the promising results obtained in animal models, so far this strategy has failed in clinical trials. According to available data, the oral administration of these antigens has been safe [83-87], but its clinical efficacy has been poor [83, 84] or restricted to small groups of patients [86]. It is known that the dose, frequency of administration and nature of the antigen itself are important variables that bear a tremendous influence in the outcome of mucosal tolerization. For instance, it has been shown that frequent administrations, as well as high doses, are not optimal for regulating the immune response in the intended manner [88]. Unfortunately, devising a clinical dose regime equivalent to those previously used with success in animal models is far from straightforward, and so far the use of suboptimal dosing schemes has made it impossible to realize the full potential of this approach.

It is also important to point out that in humans, the trigger antigens ultimately responsible for the appearance of RA are not as well defined as in animal models. The list of candidates spans both exogenous and autologous antigens, and there may be variations concerning the identity of trigger antigens from one individual to the next. To further compound matters, it is known that by the time the disorder becomes clinically evident, the autoimmune response has cascaded beyond the original triggers, affecting additional self-antigens in a phenomenon now known as epitope propagation [89]. Through this mechanism, the disorder may fall into a self-perpetuating cycle where the identity of the original trigger is ultimately irrelevant for clinical practice; another possible explanation as to why therapies aimed at inducing tolerance to chicken or bovine type II collagen, human cartilage glycoprotein-39, or the major constituents of articular cartilage, have failed to produce convincing results [90-92]. In order to break the cycle, therapy has to focus instead in the antigens currently fueling the amplification process, which must be overexpressed in situ and be recognized by the immune system. It must also be borne in mind that the molecular propagation mechanism mentioned above dictates that the selected antigen must also activate T cells regulating the pathogenic response to additional antigens involved in disease development at the inflammation site.

Heat shock proteins (HSPs) fulfill all of the above requirements. They are phylogenetically conserved, are highly immunogenic, and their expression is known to be ramped up during processes inducing cellular stress, such as inflammation [93]. HSPs are abundantly expressed at the inflammation site during RA [94, 95], where they – and derived peptides – are recognized as danger signals that can therefore trigger a strong pro-inflammatory response [94, 96, 97]. Although this type of response normally contributes to the elimination of nearby pathogens, the droves of endogenous HSP-derived peptides now present at the site thanks to cellular stress mechanisms may also become a new target for the immune system, thereby triggering a self-perpetuating inflammation cycle and amplifying the autoimmune process. One important property of these proteins, however, is that some HSP-derived self-peptides are able to induce regulatory T-cells [98], perhaps due to earlier contacts with exogenous HSPs throughout the life of the individual caused by previous immunizations or during interactions with food antigens [99].

In many ways, therefore, HSPs constitute ideal candidates for the induction of tolerance during autoimmune diseases, as they are able to stimulate a regulatory T-cell response and are abundantly represented at inflammation sites. Studies with cells isolated from patients affected with juvenile idiopathic arthritis, for example, have suggested that HSPs normally participate in the control of inflammation, where they are tasked with the induction of a regulatory response [100-103].

Antigen-specific immunomodulation with HSP peptides

A protective role in experimental arthritis models has been demonstrated for numerous members of the HSP family [74, 104], some of which have even been evaluated in clinical trials. The first evidences suggesting that the 60 kDa HSP (HSP60) might be effective in the treatment of human arthritis were obtained during a study with OM-89, an Escherichia coli extract. Placebo-controlled multi-center clinical trials demonstrated that the extract was able to decrease the severity of the symptoms with few adverse events [105, 106]. The composition of OM-89 was characterized in later studies, which revealed the presence of HSP [107] inducing a specific T-cell response upon oral administration in animal models [108]. HSPs are currently

considered responsible for the therapeutic effect of OM-89 in RA, a concept that was proven by later trials such as that of Prakken et al., who induced tolerance in RA patients by oral immunization with peptide dnaJP1 from the E. coli HSP40 [109]. This peptide is similar to the corresponding peptide of the human HSP40 homologue [94], and contains a QKRAA motif that has been associated with RA. A pilot Phase I trial with this peptide, recruiting 15 RA patients for a 6-month long treatment, found that it was able to shift the pro-inflammatory phenotype of peptide-specific T-cells to a regulatory phenotype, increasing the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-4 and IL-10 while decreasing the levels of TNF-α and interferon gamma (INF-γ). Later placebo-controlled Phase II trials involving 160 patients with active RA showed that treatment with peptide dnaJP1 was safe, well tolerated, and reduced the levels of TNF-α production upon further stimulation with the peptide. In addition, the trial detected a statistically significant difference between the treated and placebo arms regarding the American College of Rheumatology 20 and 50% improvement criteria (ACR20 and ACR50, respectively), suggesting that the treatment produced a clinically significant outcome [110].

A recent Phase II clinical trial recruiting type 1 diabetes mellitus patients provided evidence of immunological efficacy for a different peptide derived from HSP60, denominated DiaPep277 TM. The treatment was well tolerated, preserved the function of pancreatic β cells and decreased the demand for exogenous insulin when compared to the placebo group [111]. It was later demonstrated that DiaPep277 TM activates human CD4+ CD25+ Tregs [98]. Summarizing, the results from clinical trials performed with RA and type 1 diabetes mellitus patients evidence that HSP-derived peptides can be used to produce a phenotypic pro-inflammatory to tolerogenic shift in pathogenic T-cell clones, providing clinically relevant benefits to the patient without the need for general immunosuppression.

In addition to peptides containing self-antigen epitopes for the induction of peripheral tolerance, this therapeutic concept has also been evaluated in experimental models for numerous autoimmune disorders using altered peptide ligands (APLs).

Antigen-specific immunomodulation using APLs

APLs are analogues of the immunogenic peptides from which they are derived. They usually bear one or several substitutions at positions essential for their interaction with TCR or MHC molecules, thereby interfering or modifying the intracellular signaling cascade that leads to the activation of T cells [112]. Conceptually, it is possible to design APLs with similar properties to the original immunogenic peptide (agonists) that provide stronger T-cell responses to specific antigens. Such an effect would be advantageous in pathological settings such as those of infectious or neoplastic diseases. On the other hand, it is also possible to design peptides that antagonize the original immunogenic peptide (antagonists or partial agonists), which may be useful in the context of an autoimmune disorder. APLs classified as null are those that can be presented by the APCs, but cause no effect on T lymphocytes [112].

Efforts to dissect the mechanism of action of APLs have centered on the biochemical events of T-cell activation. Binding of an agonist ligand to the TCR usually leads to the recruitment of kinases and the complete phosphorylation of immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motifs (ITAMs) in all CD3 chains, activating the effector functions of the T cell. In certain circumstances, however, binding of the MHC-APL complex to the target TCR may produce altered phosphorylation patterns and modulate tyrosine kinase activity, thus generating changes in the response of these cells [113].

There is ample published evidence on the capacity of APLs to modulate the immune response in experimental models of autoimmunity disorders. It has been shown that these peptides can induce anergy or apoptosis in activated T lymphocytes through the expression of FasL and TNF-α [114, 115]. Also, it has been proposed that APLs can act in vivo as mediators of active suppression through the induction of Tregs secreting suppressive cytokines [116, 117]. In addition, there are examples evidencing that APLs may have beneficial effects through a combination of tolerogenic mechanisms [118].

One prominent example is that of Copaxone®, classified as an APL for its mechanism of action, which has been successfully used since the last decade for the treatment of multiple sclerosis. Copaxone® is a random sequence polymer synthesized from the amino acids L-Ala, L-Tyr, L-Lys and L-Glu, which can be presented by numerous MHC class II molecules and acts as an antagonist of the immunodominant epitope of myelin basic protein. Binding of this compound to the TCR of autoreactive T cells specific for this immunodominant epitope induces tolerogenic effects in the latter [119]. In addition, it has been shown that the compound changes cytokine profiles from pro-inflammatory to regulatory, an effect involved in the mechanism of Treg-mediated active suppression that may explain the therapeutic efficacy of this drug [120, 121].

As mentioned above, HSPs are prime candidates for the induction of antigen-specific tolerance in autoimmune disorders. Some research groups have designed APLs for different HSP60 epitopes, intended to expand Treg clones involved in active suppression. Currently, these APLs are still undergoing preclinical research.

One example is that of the immunodominant epitope of human HSP60 (180-188), used by Prakken et al. to design an APL that produced, upon intranasal administration, a prophylactic and therapeutic effect vastly superior to that of the native peptide in a model of adjuvant-induced arthritis. The designed APL bound the MHC of rats (RT1B1) with higher affinity, inducing IL-10-secreting Tregs that controlled disease progression [73].

Dominguez et al. used bioinformatics tools to select a T-cell epitope-rich region of HSP60 [122]. The selected peptide was modified at one of its predicted anchor residues for MHC class II molecules, a change that enabled its presentation in the context of several of the MHC class II molecules included in the study.

Unlike its wild-type counterpart, the APL was able to expand CD4+ T-cell clones with a regulatory phenotype in Balb/C mice and in ex vivo assays using mononuclear cells isolated from RA patients. The peptide, in addition, exhibited a potent clinical and histopathological effect in two animal models of RA, which correlated with decreased levels of TNF-α [123]. This APL induces the apoptosis of peripheral blood lymphocytes with a memory phenotype in RA patients (unpublished observations). It is worth noting that this lymphocyte population has repeatedly been shown to be involved in the perpetuation of autoimmune inflammatory processes [124]. The biological effects of this APL, therefore, may arise from a combination of tolerogenic mechanisms that can be useful for the treatment of early-onset RA cases.

APLs, in general, have outperformed their originating peptides during testing in animal models. The first clinical trials of this concept, unfortunately, have told a different story. Two trials were held in 2000 for multiple sclerosis patients, who received two APLs derived from a T-cell epitope of myelin basic protein (residues 83-99), bearing substitution at positions heavily involved in peptide-TCR contacts. Both studies had to be interrupted after the appearance of systemic hypersensitivity reactions and the worsening of disease symptoms in three patients [125, 126]; effects that correlated with the expansion of antigen-specific Th1 cells during treatment. The researchers conducting the trial concluded that these effects were caused by the immunization protocol selected for both trials, which contemplated large (50 mg) subcutaneous doses favoring the expansion of T-cell clones with a Th1 phenotype; a conclusion further supported by the fact that the treatment was better tolerated by volunteers receiving the lowest dose (5 mg) in both studies. As a matter of fact, decreases in the number and volume of the lesions were detected in the immunized volunteers 4 months after treatment interruption.

These two failures prompted a deeper analysis of the molecular mechanisms that can be leveraged to induce a state of tolerance through the use of APL. Tentative courses of action examined included further modifications to APL design, dosing schemes and administration routes, in an effort to minimize the potential risk of activating pathogenic T-cell clones. One of the recommendations arising from this analysis was to administer APLs at the lowest dosage still producing a meaningful biological effect, so as to facilitate the expansion of APL-specific T cells with a regulatory phenotype while minimizing the chances of cross-activating pathogenic T-cell clones [112]. Another recommendation was to apply antigen-specific therapies only to patients where the onset of autoimmune disorder is relatively recent, in an attempt to intervene while the inflammatory process has not yet entered a self-perpetuating cycle and, therefore, reactivity to self-antigens has not become widespread yet.

In year 2006 the results of a clinical trial evaluating an APL derived from human insulin that recruited recent-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus patients was published. The trial employed subcutaneous doses of 0.1, 1 and 5 mg. It showed that the treatment was safe and well tolerated, and demonstrated a shift of the pathogenic Th1 phenotype to a regulatory phenotype [127]. Efficacy considerations aside, it is unrealistic to expect that a single immunotherapeutic APL can be used for every individual. For an APL to work, it must be bound by some of the MHC molecules of the patient; otherwise, it cannot be presented by APCs and will not, therefore, be able to modulate the activity of T cells. For example, some researchers have designed APLs derived from H Cv p39 and peptide 256-276 of type II collagen with a high affinity for allele MHC-DR4 (DRB1*0401), which is overrepresented among RA patients [128, 129]. Regardless, there will inevitably be a group of patients where this particular class II MHC allele is absent, thereby limiting the immunotherapeutic usefulness of this particular peptide. The heterogeneity of TCR/MHC/peptide interactions is another factor that must be accounted for, as a single APL will not always trigger an immunosuppressive event when presented in the context of different TCR/MHC combinations, and some T cells specific for its cognate antigen will therefore escape suppression. It is therefore important for the APL to induce Tregs that not only suppress the response against their cognate self-antigen, but also against other relevant self-antigens present at the site of inflammation. In the particular case of autoimmune disorders such as RA, where the identity of the pathogenic antigen is unknown, inducing Treg cells with these characteristics would be essential, and using for this purpose Hsp-derived peptides that not only participate in the pathogenic process, but – unlike type II collagen or H c p39 – constitute natural regulators of the immune response, can make the difference between success and failure [130].

It must be underscored, though, that the etiology and pathology of RA are complex, and achieving remission for this disorder will inevitably require the application of several complementing strategies. The previous or concomitant inclusion of antigen-specific therapies within established treatments using drugs already approved for this disease is currently being examined, with promising results.

**Antigen-specific tolerization as a complement for combination therapy**

As discussed above, a pro-inflammatory environment is one of the hallmarks of active RA. Most studies indicate that Tregs in RA patients are not deficient, but rather that their functionality has been compromised by this environment [131]. It then follows that it must be possible to increase the efficiency of antigen-specific tolerization by simply inhibiting inflammation. An initial anti-inflammatory therapy would help to neutralize the action of pro-inflammatory cytokines and/or T cells at the inflammation site, clearing the field for subsequent antigenic tolerization strategies. According to previous reports, TNF-α blockers may serve this purpose, as they have been shown to restore the number and function of Tregs in RA patients [132] and may contribute to a shift towards a regulatory cytokine profile in monocytes and T-cells of RA patients [133].

Such was the rationale of a study combining the induction of antigen-specific Tregs with anti-TNF-α drugs in a rat model of adjuvant-induced arthritis. While the administration to separate groups of patients would not, therefore, be able to modulate the activity of T cells. For example, some researchers have designed APLs derived from H CV p39 and peptide 256-276 of type II collagen with a high affinity for allele MHC-DR4 (DRB1*0401), which is overrepresented among RA patients [128, 129]. Regardless, there will inevitably be a group of patients where this particular class II MHC allele is absent, thereby limiting the immunotherapeutic usefulness of this particular peptide. The heterogeneity of TCR/MHC/peptide interactions is another factor that must be accounted for, as a single APL will not always trigger an immunosuppressive event when presented in the context of different TCR/MHC combinations, and some T cells specific for its cognate antigen will therefore escape suppression. It is therefore important for the APL to induce Tregs that not only suppress the response against their cognate self-antigen, but also against other relevant self-antigens present at the site of inflammation. In the particular case of autoimmune disorders such as RA, where the identity of the pathogenic antigen is unknown, inducing Treg cells with these characteristics would be essential, and using for this purpose Hsp-derived peptides that not only participate in the pathogenic process, but – unlike type II collagen or HCV p39 – constitute natural regulators of the immune response, can make the difference between success and failure [130].

It must be underscored, though, that the etiology and pathology of RA are complex, and achieving remission for this disorder will inevitably require the application of several complementing strategies. The previous or concomitant inclusion of antigen-specific therapies within established treatments using drugs already approved for this disease is currently being examined, with promising results.
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As discussed above, a pro-inflammatory environment is one of the hallmarks of active RA. Most studies indicate that Tregs in RA patients are not deficient, but rather that their functionality has been compromised by this environment [131]. It then follows that it must be possible to increase the efficiency of antigen-specific tolerization by simply inhibiting inflammation. An initial anti-inflammatory therapy would help to neutralize the action of pro-inflammatory cytokines and/or T cells at the inflammation site, clearing the field for subsequent antigenic tolerization strategies. According to previous reports, TNF-α blockers may serve this purpose, as they have been shown to restore the number and function of Tregs in RA patients [132] and may contribute to a shift towards a regulatory cytokine profile in monocytes and T-cells of RA patients [133].
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arthritogenic HSP60 peptide (p180-188) through the nasal route or a single-dose course of Etanercept® failed to produce a significant reduction of the clinical signs of the disease, a combined schedule consisting on the administration of a single dose of Etanercept® before the induction of mucosal tolerance with the HSP60 peptide did produce a significant clinical and histopathological improvement as well as a shift in the cytokine profile towards a regulatory phenotype [134]. Importantly, the results of the therapeutic combination were similar to those of a full three-dose course of Etanercept®, implying that one possible benefit of the combination would be a significant reduction in the number of doses of anti-cytokine therapy, together with its associated side effects.

There is also evidence suggesting that clinical efficacy improves when the induction of Tregs is combined with anti-inflammatory treatments. In the clinical trial described earlier where the dnaJ1 peptide was administered to RA patients, the clinical effect was more noticeable in the group that also received hydroxychloroquine [110]. The latter drug decreases the levels of TNF-α and IL-6, in addition to blocking protein processing at the APC [135, 136], creating a situation where antigen presentation is diminished and therefore amplifying the impact of the peptide dnaJ1, which does not need to be degraded in order to exert its effect on the regulation of the immune system. Taken as a whole, the available data suggest that combining the induction of Tregs with anti-inflammatory treatments may provide considerable clinical benefit during RA therapy.

**Conclusions**

RA is a severe disorder that considerably degrades the quality of life of affected patients and shortens their lifespan. Current available therapeutic alternatives are unsatisfactory, as they center predominantly on managing pain, reducing inflammation and delaying the progressive deterioration of the joints that characterizes this disease. Recognizing early-onset RA as a medical emergency has decreased the time at which treatment starts, enabling physicians to deal with the disorder from earlier stages with disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs and biologicals. Despite excellent results in the patient group that does respond to these therapies, these drugs cause generalized immunosuppression and, therefore, severe adverse events; in addition, they are unable to provide a sustained state of remission and must therefore be administered uninterruptedly. These obstacles may, in theory, be surmounted by manipulating the immune system in a more specific manner, a therapeutic modality for which the HSPs are excellent candidates. These proteins are able to induce Treg cells specific for antigens of the inflammation site, thus avoiding the generalized immunosuppression of more traditional therapies. HSP treatment has been shown in clinical trials to be safe and capable of providing significant clinical improvement. Moreover, given that most studies indicate that Treg cells in RA patients are not deficient, but functionally compromised by the pro-inflammatory environment of the arthritic joint, the efficacy of HSP-based therapies can be enhanced simply by inhibiting inflammation with currently available drugs, an application for which the latter can be administered at dosages much lower than those used in purely anti-inflammatory treatments. This combination would therefore improve considerably the quality of life of affected patients, as it would significantly moderate the side effects associated with anti-inflammatory drugs and reduce treatment costs. In a best-case scenario, antigen-specific therapy might become the only long-term treatment required for maintaining the disorder under control. Inducing antigen-specific Tregs would, therefore, provide a huge boost to the safety and efficacy of therapies currently in use for RA patients.
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