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ABSTRACT

Cuban-Americans are changing their attitudes about many of the key components of current US/Cuba policy. The paper explores some of the social forces creating the patterns associated with the changing attitudes. Based on the data from the 2014 Cuba Poll, a descriptive analysis of relationships among key demographic variables uncovers compelling patterns associated with the ideological shift occurring in the population. Specifically, the patterns associated with ideology shifts and time of arrival of the migrant as well as his/her age and political party preference are explored. The paper makes clear that the new arrivals to Miami, as well as the younger Cubans, are significantly more interested in normalizing relations with the home country. Unfortunately, a large majority of the new arrivals (migrating since 1995), are not citizens and therefore not able to participate in the electoral processes that shape U.S. policy towards the island.
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RESUMEN

Los cubano-americanos están cambiando sus actitudes acerca de muchos de los componentes clave de la política actual de Estados Unidos hacia Cuba. El artículo explora algunas de las fuerzas sociales que crean los patrones asociados a esas actitudes cambiantes. En base a los datos del 2014 Cuba Poll, un análisis descriptivo de las relaciones entre las variables demográficas clave, el texto descubre patrones de peso asociados con el cambio ideológico que ocurre en la población. En concreto, patrones asociados con los cambios y el tiempo de llegada de los migrantes, así como su edad y preferencia de partido político o de ideología. El documento deja claro que las personas recién llegadas a Miami, así como los jóvenes cubanos, están significativamente más interesados en la normalización de las relaciones con su país de origen. Por desgracia, la gran mayoría de los recién llegados (desde 1995), no son ciudadanos estadounidenses y, por tanto, no pueden participar en los procesos electorales que dan forma a la política de Estados Unidos hacia la isla.
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Introduction

The recently proposed changes to U.S. Cuba policy have been viewed by critics as being a capitulation to the government of Cuba and by supporters as a long needed adjustment to a failed policy inspired by Cold War geopolitics. Some in both camps consider it a risky move by President Obama. The risk involved is the alienation of the Cuban American electorate in South Florida which might put the democratic presidential candidate in 2016 in a precarious position in winning the third most populous State in the elections. Supporters of the vision point out that the Cuban-American community in South Florida is changing and its long held hard-line attitudes have been tempered by time and a demographic transition occurring driven by second generation and new migrants from the island. Much of the empirical evidence for the argument supporting changing views comes from the FIU Cuba Poll, a poll which has tracked Cuban American attitudes about U.S. Cuba policy since 1991.

Since 1991, the FIU Cuba Poll has measured the attitudes of Cuban-Americans living in South Florida towards U.S./Cuba relations. Despite the controversy that often surrounds the poll, the research has contributed to the understanding of the changing nature of Cubans in the United States. Before the Cuba Poll, Cuban Americans were frequently characterized by their monolithic ideological “right wing” leanings. This “Exile Ideology” shaped the national perception of the nature of the Cuban American community (Grenier and Pérez, 2003). To the degree that non-Cuban Americans thought of Cubans in the Miami area, they were characterized by their political features: staunch anti-Castrism, militancy and political conservatism. This image was reinforced by the communities’ overwhelming political allegiance to the Republican Party (Uhlander and García, 2005).

In this brief essay I present some of the results of the latest poll –May 2014– on the views of the Cuban community in Miami to Cuba and to the US policy with the island. I compare some of the key responses to previous surveys conducted since 1991 to contextualize some of the changes in the community over the past twenty years.
and accommodation, adjusts their status without having to return to their country of origin to receive it and do not need lawyers or money to get the benefit of blanket parole. As far as we know, the CAA is the only law in the world that offers these privileges to a migrant group not threatened with physical extinction. This policy has provide an irresistible “carrot” to Cubans discontent with their lives on the island and it provides a significant “stick” the Cuban government to use against the United States while encouraging the exit of its dissidents and malcontents. The result of this policy has been at the constant flow of Cuban nationals to the United States for over fifty five years. This flow has changed the character of the Cuban-American population from exiles to immigrants and is changing the ideological and political landscape of the community.

The shifts in policy ushered in under the “normalization” agreements of 1994-1995 have increased dramatically the flow of Cubans to the U.S. as well as introducing an important change in the provisions of the Cuban Adjustment Act. The 1995 agreements committed the United States to admitting a minimum of 20,000 Cubans per year and adjusted the CAA by introducing what has been called the “wet foot, dry foot” policy to discourage unregulated migration from the island. Under the “wet foot/dry foot” policy Cubans who make it to shore can stay in the United States—likely becoming eligible to adjust to permanent residence under the Cuban Adjustment Act—and those who do not make it to dry land can be repatriated unless they can demonstrate a well-founded fear of persecution if returned to Cuba. Although the agreements created an “illegal” dimension to Cuban migration that did not exist previously, it also normalized the flow of migrants. The minimum number of admittances does not include the admission of immediate relatives of U.S. citizens. During the Bush years, legal migration never reached the agreed upon totals. The first decade of the 21st century, however, was the most active in Cuban migration history (figure 1).

**Figura 1. Cubans Receiving Permanent Resident Status by Decades**

![Graph showing the number of Cubans receiving permanent resident status by decades](image)

This population displacement is important for several reasons. As shown in figure 2, the continuous migration from the island since 1959 has transformed Miami-Dade County into the largest metropolitan area in the US composed mostly of ethnic minorities. Over 85% of the population belongs to a minority group. Cubans stand out as the largest minority group. More than 35% of the population of Miami is Cuban, and “newcomers” arriving after the signing of the 1995 migration agreement comprise more than 35% of the Cuban population. But even this number understates the importance of the Cuban population in the Latinization process of Miami. As shown in figure 3, Cubans are the largest Latino group in the county; only six other national groups represent more than 2% of the total county population.
The persistent Cubanization of Miami is significant because it is the driving force for change in the ideological profile within the community, particularly in their attitudes towards Cuba and its government. If we take the average of the five surveys conducted during the 1990s and the six surveys we conduct since 2000, we see some basic trends. Figure 4 shows the average of the support expressed for the embargo during the two decades of surveys and the number of the approximate population of Cubans in Miami at the time of the surveys according to the U.S. Census (Census Bureau, 2002). In the 1990s, when the number of Cubans in Miami totaled 650,000, an average 84% of respondents supported the embargo. Over the next decade, support declined to an average of 53% as the population of Cubans in Miami rose to 856,000. Figure 5 shows a similar impact of population density on the support expressed for unrestricted travel to Cuba by all Americans as the support increased from 43 to 58%. The density of the Cuban diaspora, fueled by newcomers appears to be an important factor in the changing ideological profile of the community.
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Figura 5. 1990 Polls vs 2000 Polls. Average of Responses. Unrestricted Travel

Figura 6. Favor Continuing Embargo
The changes in community attitudes toward the island can be clearly seen when comparing the survey responses over the past two and a half decades. Figure 6 presents the weakening of support for the embargo during this time. The importance of demographics in this change is evident in figure 7, drawn from the 2014 poll. Here we see conciliatory viewpoints expressed more frequently by newcomers (since 1995) and the younger generation of the Cuban diaspora. It is also important to note in this and other figures, the tendency of voters. Voters are immigrants with more time in the U.S. Their attitudes tend to be more consistent with the characteristics of the classic “exile ideology” in their resistance to reconciliation. Newcomers, however, reflect more conciliatory attitudes.

Figura 7. Do you favor or oppose continuing the U.S. embargo of Cuba?

Figura 8. Overall, do you think the U.S. embargo of Cuba has worked very well, well, not very well, or not at all?
Girard, Grenier, and Gladwin (2010) have explored the symbolic nature of support for the embargo and this dimension of the characteristic is often associated with a “hardline” approach to U.S./Cuba relations is evident in the 2014 poll when we consider how effective the respondents consider the embargo to be. As figure 8 shows, an overwhelming majority of Cubans in Miami (80% of post 1995 migrants) believes that the embargo has not worked very well or at all. Perhaps because of this belief in the inefficiency of the embargo, a large majority of Cuban-Americans are willing to use the embargo as a negotiation chip for other policies that might be more effective in promoting change. 58% are willing to vote for a candidate who proposes to replace the embargo with a policy that increases support for small business owners in Cuba (figure 9) and 81% are willing to support a candidate who devises a way to increase pressure on the Cuban government over human rights concerns as a replacement to the policy of embargo (figure 10).

**Figura 9. How likely would you be to vote for a candidate who supports replacing the embargo with increased support for independent small business owners in Cuba?**

*(asked or registered voters)*

**Figura 10. How likely would you be to vote for a candidate who supports replacing the embargo with support for increasing pressure on the Cuban government over human rights?**

*(registered voters)*
The controversial issue of travel also responds to migratory pressures, as the trend line shows (figure 11). In 2014, approximately 69% of Cubans in Miami supported unrestricted travel to Cuba for all Americans (figure 12). Newcomers are more interested in restoring freedom to travel for all residents of the United States.

**Figura 11. Should unrestricted travel from U.S. to Cuba be allowed (for all Americans)?**

**Figura 12. Should unrestricted travel by all Americans to Cuba be allowed or not?**

**Figure 12: Should unrestricted travel by all Americans to Cuba be allowed or not?**
A major change in diplomatic policy toward the island is supported by the majority of the population. Approximately 68% supported the reestablishment of diplomatic relations with the Cuban government. Young Cubans as well as newcomers and Cuban-Americans are the most convinced of this change (figure 13). When registered voters are asked if they would vote for a candidate who supported establishing diplomatic relations, most young and new arrival Cuban-Americans answered that they would likely do so (figure 14).

**Figura 13. Do you favor or oppose the U.S. re-establishing diplomatic relations with Cuba?**

**Figure 13: Do you favor or oppose the U.S. re-establishing diplomatic relations with Cuba?**

![Bar chart showing support for re-establishing diplomatic relations with Cuba by age and year left Cuba.](image)

**Figura 14. How likely would you be to vote for a candidate who supports the reestablishment of diplomatic relations with Cuba? (registered voters)**

**Figure 14: How likely would you be to vote for a candidate who supports the reestablishment of diplomatic relations with Cuba? (registered voters)**

![Survey results chart showing vote likelihood by age, year left Cuba, and importance of candidate's position on Cuba.](image)
Remittances are an important feature of the diaspora/homeland relationship. Figures 15 and 16 present respondents categorized by the annual amount sent to relatives on the island by time of arrival. Again, we see the importance of the new immigrants in economic transactions. Newcomers send more remittances than any other category (65%) and send a higher average amount than other groups—31% send over $1000 a year (figure 16). This commitment to the development of the Cuban economy is also reflected in attitudes towards new investment opportunities on the island created by the structural economic changes underway in Cuba. Significant number of Cuban Americans show a desire to support and take advantage of investment opportunities on the island (figures 17 and 18).

**Figura 15. Do you or your relatives in Miami send money to relatives in Cuba?**

**Figure 15: Do you or your relatives in Miami send money to relatives in Cuba?**

**Figura 16. Amount?**
Figura 17. Do you think people living in the U.S. should be allowed to invest in these new non-governmental small businesses in Cuba?

Figura 18. Would you invest if given the opportunity? (asked of those supporting investment)

Figura 19. Are you a citizen?
Ultimately, figures 19 and 20 present the data most important to the process of changing national policy toward Cuba. Although the Republican Party, traditionally regarded as the most intransigent toward a policy change is decreasing absolute control over Cuban-American voters (figure 21), newcomers are not represented in the register of voters in sufficient numbers to play an important role in altering policy toward Cuba. Only 31% of newcomers have become citizens. Once becoming citizens, however, the most recent arrivals follow the pattern of previous waves and register to vote; 83% of the eligible post 1995 arrivals are registered.

**Figura 20. Are you registered to vote?**

![Bar chart showing the percentage of registered voters by year and age group.](image)

**Figura 21. Registered as Republican, Democrat or Independent?**

![Line chart showing the percentage of voters registered as Republican, Democrat, or Independent over time.](image)
Conclusion

Any synthesis of Cuba Poll surveys leads to the same conclusion: demographic changes are driving the ideological changes of the Cuban community in Miami, but the ideological changes will not be reflected in a change in policy until new waves of immigrants join the second-generation Cuban-Americans to express their wishes at the polls. When this happens, the exile ideology will give way to a new ideology based on the recognition that the Cuban diaspora is an extension of the nation with responsibilities and duties to the civic, cultural, economic and political development not only of Miami-Dade County but in Cuba.

This is important because the trends could signal the end of the tendency to see the community as ideologically monolithic and uncompromising, and the emergence of the “new ideology of diaspora” directed at establishing and maintaining relationships with the island. If it is true that the old exile ideology has exerted a major influence not only in the development of an immigrant community, but also on the foreign policy of the United States, the bearers of the new ideology will wield similar power. Just like Reagan mobilized the Cuban-American community in the 1980s around national enterprise of destroying “the evil empire” of the Soviet Union, so can the contemporary Cuban community be mobilized around the more creative endeavor of contributing to the development of a 21rst century Cuba.
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