Induction of tomato resistance to *Alternaria solani* Sor. by biological and chemical activators in the field
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**ABSTRACT:** Tomato early blight (*Alternaria solani* Sor.) is a prevalent disease in the humid subtropics of Western Cuba. The effect of pretreatment of the susceptible tomato cultivar HC-3880 with *Glomus clarum*, *Arthrospira platensis* and acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM) was determined under field conditions. Seven treatments (mycorrhizas, spirulina, ASM, their combinations, and an untreated control) were studied to assess the plant enzymatic activity and response to the disease after artificial inoculations of a mixture of *A. solani* strains. The mycorrhizas were inoculated only once at planting by coating the seeds. The other inductors were applied by foliar spray, ASM one week and spirulina one day before inoculation of *A. solani* to all the plants, including the control, at 104 days of planting. The induction of six enzyme systems was determined at 0d, 1d, 7d and 10d after pathogen inoculation. After 10-12d of pathogen inoculation, the necrotic leaf area (NLA), number of spots per leaf (SPL) and yield were determined. The increase in enzyme activity and protection against the pathogen were minimal in the spirulina and control treatments. The combination mycorrhizas-ASM induced higher enzyme activity than the other treatments, with significant differences for glucanase, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, peroxidase, and polyphenoloxidase. The NLA (14.04%) in this treatment was lower (but not significantly), than in the other five. The NLA discriminated the treatments better than the SPL and yield. In general, those treatments including ASM showed better results.
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Inducción de resistencia en tomate contra *Alternaria solani* Sor. mediante activadores químico y biológicos en campo

**RESUMEN:** El tizón temprano (*Alternaria solani* Sor.) es una enfermedad prevalente en el subtrópico húmedo del occidente de Cuba. Se determinó el efecto de pretreatment en la variedad susceptible al tizón temprano HC-3880 con *Glomus clarum*, *Arthrospira platensis* y acibenzolar-S-metilo (ASM) en condiciones de campo, contra *A. solani*. Se estudiaron siete tratamientos: micorrizas, spirulina, ASM, sus combinaciones y el Control (no tratadas) para determinar la respuesta de las plantas en términos de actividad enzimática y afectaciones foliares posterior a la infección artificial con *A. solani*. Las micorrizas se inocularon una sola vez mediante recubrimiento de las semillas y los otros agentes por aspersión foliar de cada uno. Todo el experimento fue asperjado con una mezcla de cepas de *A. solani* a los 104d, incluso el Control, cuando las plantas tenían 104d de tratadas con Micorriza, una semana con ASM y un día con Spirulina. Se determinó la inducción de seis sistemas enzimáticos a los 0d, 1d, 7d y 10d posteriores a la inoculación con el patógeno. A los 10-12d pos-inoculación se determinó el área foliar necrosada (NLA), el número de manchas por hoja (SPL) y el rendimiento. El incremento en las actividades enzimáticas fue mínimo en los tratamientos control y con spirulina, así como la protección contra el patógeno. La combinación de micorriza-ASM indujo mayor actividad enzimática respecto a los otros tratamientos, con diferencias significativas para glucanasa, fenilalanina amonio liasa, peroxidasa y polifenoloxidasa. El NLA (14,04%) en este tratamiento fue menor (pero no significativamente) en este tratamiento, respecto a los otros cinco. El NLA discriminó mejor los tratamientos que el SPL y el rendimiento. En general, los tratamientos que incluyeron ASM mostraron mejores resultados.

**Palabras clave:** *Arthrospira platensis*, tizón temprano, micorrizas, PR-proteínas, resistencia sistémica adquirida, spirulina.
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INTRODUCTION

Early blight disease of tomato (Alternaria solani) Sor. is an endemic disease in hot and humid climates; it affects stems, fruits, and is particularly important due to the foliar necrosis it produces. Its control by fungicides, long-term crop rotations and soil fumigation (1) have been largely assayed. The complexity of the inheritance pattern and strong influence of the environmental conditions in natural epidemics of early blight hamper the commercial availability of resistant cultivars (1,2). Induction of resistance, especially by chemical or biological activators, is another alternative quite often used in crop protection to control the disease.

Among the chemical activators of the systemic acquired resistance are salicylic acid, its analogues 2,6-dichloro-isonicotinic acid and benzo (1,2,3) thiadiazole-7-carbothioic acid S-methyl ester, and its derivative benzo (1,2,3) thiadiazole with S methylbenzo (1,2,3) thiadiazole-7-carbo-thiate. The latter, known as Acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM), was developed to commercial product (BION®), and classified as plant activator and antifungal agrochemical (5). However, Fritz (15) obtained that ASM significantly inhibited the mycelial growth of Alternaria solani in vitro.

Induced resistance by chemicals such as ASM mimics the biological activation of systemic acquired resistance (SAR). ASM takes the place of salicylic acid (SA) in the SAR signal pathway inducing the same molecular markers and range of resistance (3). SAR is a long distance signaling mechanism that provides broad spectrum and long-lasting resistance to secondary infections throughout the plant (4). This unique feature makes SAR a highly desirable trait in crop production.

The resistance inducers have no direct antimicrobial effects, so it is assumed that the best results in field application are obtained by combining them with fungicides or antibacterial treatment (5). However, Fritz (15) obtained that ASM significantly inhibited the mycelial growth of Alternaria solani Sor. in vitro. Activation of resistance against A. solani is characterized by the induction of chitinase, β-1,3-glucanase, and lipoxigenase enzymes (6,7) in resistant cultivars. The ASM showed itself to be effective in the greenhouse, sufficing a single application of the product to achieve protection in the field; however, ASM does not always provide protection against pathogens (8).

Resistance in plants to both the avirulent or hypovirulent forms of a pathogen, like Fusarium oxysporum Schltdl in tomato, can be induced by some biological active principles (9), or by symbionts such as the vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizas (VAM), which enhance tomato resistance to early blight by priming systemic defense response and the jasmonate signaling pathway (10). Several mycorrhizal species of Glomus, such as Glomus clarum (Nicolson & Schenck), Glomus mossae (Nicol. & Gerd./Gerdeman & Trappe), and Glomus hoi-like (Berch & Trappe)(11,12), have shown beneficial effects on tomato. Glomus intraradices was highly efficient in harvest index values and fruit fresh weight, respectively (11). Enzymatic activities showed different responses in tomato seedlings according to VAM strains, being Glomus fasciculatum ((Thaxt.) Gerd. & Trappe) the most effective strain for this interaction under the studied conditions (13). In the market, there are commercial products whose active ingredients are different mycorrhizal species, particularly Ecomic® in Cuba.

The cyanobacterium Arthrospira platensis ((Nordstedt) Gomont) (Spirulina) is marketed primarily as a nutritional supplement in humans (14). Particularly in Germany, it has been registered in the markets for organic products as a plant restorer, not been required to prove its effectiveness (15). Due to the presence of exopolysaccharides in its cultures, it is expected that there is a positive effect on the defense system of plants.

Achieving natural activation of the defense systems of plants as an alternative control is compatible for both cropping systems, where monoculture and the curative approach predominates, as well as in farms managed with an agroecological approach where the nonuse or reduction of traditional chemicals is imperative.

The objective of the research was to measure the protection by chemical and biological resistance activators to the damage of A. solani on a susceptible tomato cultivar in field trials by evaluating the kinetics of enzymes related to host defense systems and the leaf damage induced by the pathogen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

Plants of the tomato cultivar HC-3880 were used. This cultivar is susceptible to early blight and low inducing pathogenesis-related proteins (PR proteins) against A. solani (7).

The field experiment was carried out in Western Cuba, San José de Las Lajas, Mayabeque province, in winter from December 2003 to April 2004. Seedlings were sown in a dry heat sterilized mix of ferrallitic red soil and peat contained in plastic trays. They were grown at room temperature in houses protected with anti-aphid mesh and ultraviolet-radiation-absorbent plexiglass roof for 45 days. Then, the seedlings were
transplanted to the field in the morning at a planting distance of 0.7m x 0.25-0.30m. Previous soil analysis of the experimental area indicated an average number of viable young VAM spores of 18.6/g of soil, which was considered low (11).

**Inoculation**

Table 1 shows the different resistance-inducing products and treatments. Doses of the commercial products were adjusted as recommended by the manufacturer, except in the case of Spirulina that was chosen arbitrarily. The experiment time was optimal for growing tomatoes, so that a low natural infection was expected. At 59 d post-transplant, all treatments were inoculated with a mixture of four Cuban pathogenic isolates of *A. solani* (Mycological Lab. National Center for Plant and Animal Health (CENSA), Mayabeque). The isolates were obtained from symptoms of tomato early blight with different degrees of aggressiveness against tomato genotypes (16).

The inoculum was prepared in static culture of each isolate in potato dextrose broth (200 g of fresh potato / 20 g dextrose), cultured for eight days in darkness at 27±1°C. Subsequently, the mycelium of each isolate was vacuum filtered through filter paper (Whatman # 1), weighed and proportionally fragmented into blender for 2-3 min. A final suspension of fresh mycelium in sterile distilled water (4.49 g.L⁻¹) was prepared and each plant inoculated with 3-5 ml of the suspension with a 1-liter one-hand pressure sprayer after 18:00 hours. It has been found that some inducers perform well when single pathogen isolates are used for inoculation, but not so well when mixtures of two or three pathogen isolates are used (8).

**Enzymatic analysis**

Leaf samples were taken from the plotstreated 59 days after planting, just before inoculation with the fungus. This time was considered time 0. Subsequently, samples at 1, 7 and 10d post-inoculation for the enzymatic dynamics (Table 2). Leaves between the 2nd to 4th positions from the ground were selected as the most susceptible to early blight (18).

Enzyme Extraction: a mixture of detached leaves was made and divided into three replicates of 5 g/treatment/time. The methodology (7) consisted of macerating with liquid nitrogen and homogenizing with sodium acetate (0.1 M; pH 5.2) in a 2:1 ratio (ml.g⁻¹ fresh weight). The homogenate was incubated with stirring in an ice bath for 45 min, filtered through gauze and centrifuged (14.000 g /4°C/ 30min). The supernatant was stored at -20°C until use. Protein concentration was determined by the Bradford Coomassie brilliant blue assay is accomplished by measurement of

---

**TABLE 1.** Treatments with biological and chemical resistance inductors applied to tomato in western Cuba from December 2003 to April 2004 (winter growing season)/ Tratamientos con inductores de resistencia biológicos y químicos aplicados al tomate en el occidente de Cuba de diciembre 2003 a abril 2004 (campaña de invierno).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active ingredient</th>
<th>Trade name</th>
<th>Comercial product Dose</th>
<th>Application Method*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Glomus clarum</em> (Mycorrhiza)</td>
<td>Ecomic® (INCA, Havana, Cuba)</td>
<td>1 g.kg⁻¹ seed</td>
<td>Seed coating prior to sowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spirulina maximum and S. platenses</em> (Spirulina)</td>
<td>Genix® (Havana, Cuba)</td>
<td>1 g.L⁻¹</td>
<td>Single spray of each plant one day before pathogen inoculations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM)</td>
<td>50% WG BION® (Novartis, Basel, Switzerland)</td>
<td>100 mg.L⁻¹</td>
<td>Single spray of each plant one week before pathogen inoculation**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASM-Spirulina</td>
<td>combination</td>
<td>Single spray at 52d -Single spray at 58d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASM-Mycorrhiza</td>
<td>combination</td>
<td>Single spray of each plant at 52d - Seed coating prior to planting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirulina- Mycorrhiza</td>
<td>combination</td>
<td>Single spray each plant at 52d-Seeds coating prior to planting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>no product application</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See a timeline in Table 2
** Sufficient time to effectively activation the defense mechanisms of the plant by ASM(17).
absorbance at 595 nm. The standard bovine serum albumin curve was calibrated from a stock solution of 1 mg.ml\(^{-1}\) (7).

Enzymatic activity: Each enzyme activity was determined using the methods previously standardized by Solórzano (7) and Rodríguez et al. (19). These methods can be described briefly as follows. ß-1,3-glucanase (GLUC): A discontinuous method based on laminarin (ß-1,3 glucan) hydrolysis. The product of reaction absorbs at 660 nm. Glucose (1 mg.ml\(^{-1}\)) was used as standard in the calibration pattern curve. Phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL): a discontinuous method based on phenylalanine deamination. The reaction product, cinnamic acid, absorbs at 275 nm, and the reaction rate was determined with its readings. The calibration pattern curve was performed with cinnamic acid at 1 mg.ml\(^{-1}\). Chitinase (CHIT): a discontinuous method based on the hydrolysis of the colloidal chitin to N-acetyl-glucosamine (585 nm). Peroxidase (POX): a continuous method based on guaiacol oxidation. The reaction rate of oxidation was determined by recording the product absorbance at 470 nm. Variation in the optical density was determined for two minutes at 15 sec. intervals (ΔDO / Δt). Lipooxygenase (LOX): a continuous method where the linolenic acid is hydrolyzed (20). The reaction product absorbs at 234 nm. Polyphenoloxidase (PPO): a continuous method which is based on the oxidation of pyrogallol to quinones. The reaction product absorbs at 420 nm, the (ΔDO / Δt) was determined the same way as in the previous method. Enzyme activity was expressed in μmol of formed product min\(^{-1}\) mL enz\(^{-1}\), except where the PPO was expressed as ΔDO / Δt / min / ml of enzyme, as the extinction coefficient of pyrogallol was lacked (19). The specific activity of each enzyme was determined as Specific Act. = Enzyme Act. Units / protein concentration (EUA.mg Prot\(^{-1}\)).

Foliar damage by \textit{A. solani} and yield

Leaf damage were determined by two recommended methods for this plant-pathogen interaction (18). After 10 days of inoculating the pathogen, the numbers of spots per leaf (SPL) were counted in three plants per treatment. At 12 days post-inoculation, the percentage of necrotic area per leaf (NLA) was determined in all plants by visual assessment by two evaluators (see time line in Table 2). The yield of the harvests at 70 and 79d from transplanting was measured. Not marketable fruits were discarded.

Experimental design and analysis

A random block design with three replications and seven treatments per block was used. Each treatment consisted of six rows with about 14 plants in each; the two outer rows were discarded to eliminate edge effects. Statistical analysis: Variance analysis was performed. The analysis of the nine response variables was integrated using analysis of the principal components with standardized data (due to the different scales) on a correlation matrix (21). This analysis was combined with a Biplot representation by Info-Stat program (Córdoba, Argentina: http://www.infostat.com.ar/).

\textbf{TABLE 2.} Timeline for each activity during the experiment */ \textit{Línea de tiempo de las actividades durante el experimento}*. 

| Plant age (d) | 0 | 45 | 97 | 103 | 104 | 105 | 112 | 115 | 117 | 125 |
| Time in field (d) | 45 | 52 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 66 | 69 | 71 | 79 |
| Treatment/ Activity** | Mycorrhizas | Transplanting | ASM | Spirulina | Pathog. inoculation |
| Enzymatic Analysis | | | | | Enz-0d | Enz-1d | Enz-7d | Enz-10d |
| Disease evaluation | | | | | SPL | NLF |
| Harvest | | | | | Harvest 1 | Harvest 2 |

* ASM: acibenzolar-S-methyl, SPL: spot per leaf, NLF: necrotic leaf area
** See Table 1
RESULTS

Enzyme induction

Detectable levels of all enzymes were observed in the control treatment (Table 3), confirming the existence of a constitutive enzyme level in the cultivar. The enzymes GLUC, PPO and PAL showed activities with significant differences between some of the treatments, unlike the other three enzymes (Table 3). GLUC was significantly high in the plants treated with mycorrhizas, acibenzolar-S-methyl (ASM) and the ASM-mycorrhizas combination. PPO was higher with spirulina and ASM-spirulina treatments. PAL particularly induced with ASM alone and with the combinations ASM-spirulina and ASM-mycorrhizas. The control plants showed the lowest enzyme levels in almost all treatments. It should be pointed out that there were a low level of mycorrhizas in the field blocks (22), between 0-40 young viable spores (data not shown).

All treatments with acibenzolar-S-methyl induced the highest levels of foliar enzyme activity after A. solani inoculation (Fig. 1). The treatments with spirulina-mycorrhizas, spirulina alone, and the control (not treated) showed the lowest level of all the enzymes analyzed (Fig. 1). On average from all enzymes, covering the seeds with mycorrhizas showed an intermediate position among all the treatments (Fig. 1). In most treatments, glucanase was notably the enzyme with higher level over 4,000 EAU mg prot.\(^{-1}\), but the effects of spirulina-mycorrhizas and the control. On the other hand, none of the treatments showed enzymatic activity over 4,000 EAU mg prot.\(^{-1}\) before pathogen inoculation (Table 3). The content of enzymes increased with time (1d to 10d) (Fig. 1), but not always significantly (see */▼). It should be noticed that only the treatment with mycorrhizas and spirulina-mycorrhizas stimulated some enzymes between 7d to 10d significantly (Fig. 2), while with most treatments the significance was shown between 1 and 7 days.

The enzyme CHIT showed no significant differences in none of the treatment at 0d, nor elicited at 24h after inoculations of the pathogen (data not shown), and in general at none of the times evaluated. Only in ASM-spirulina and spirulina-mycorrhizas treatments, the differences were significant (Fig. 1).

Ten days after inoculations with A. solani, the levels of all enzymes were two fold the levels of the day of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments(^{*})</th>
<th>Enzimatic activity Units EAU mg prot.(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLUC</td>
<td>CHIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASM-mycorrhizas</td>
<td>3.524,76 a**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASM</td>
<td>3.537,04 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycorrhizas</td>
<td>3.759,22 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASM-spirulina</td>
<td>3.084,69 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirulina</td>
<td>2.234,01 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.463,89 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirulina-mycorrhizas</td>
<td>2.324,79 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The plants had been treated with mycorrhizae 59d earlier, seven days with Acibenzolar-S-methyl, and one day with Spirulina. Samples were taken the same day the pathogen was sprayed and recorded as day zero (0d). Las plantas se trataron con micorrizas 59 días antes, siete días con Acibenzolar-S-metilo y un día con Spirulina. Las muestras se tomaron el mismo día de asperjado el patógeno artificialmente, y considerado el día cero (0d).

** Different letters indicate significant differences (\(p \leq 0.05\)). Data displayed in descending order, to the right and down. Letras diferentes indican diferencias significativas (\(p \leq 0.05\)). Datos mostrados en orden descendente, hacia la derecha y hacia abajo.

FIGURE 1. Foliar enzyme kinetics (1d, 7d and 10d) of tomato plants treated with resistance-inductors (mycorrhiza, ASM, spirulina and their combinations) and artificially inoculated with A. solani. The symbols indicate significant differences between the three (*) or two (▼) evaluation times within each treatment and enzyme \( p \leq 0.05 \). Plants were artificially inoculated with the pathogen after 59d of being transplanted to the field. Data are shown in descending order of enzyme activity, to the right (kinetic) and down (treatments). / Cinética enzimática foliar (1d, 7d y 10d) de plantas de tomate tratadas con inductores de resistencia (Micorriza, ASM, Spirulina y sus combinaciones) e inoculadas artificialmente con A. solani en condiciones de campo. Los símbolos indican diferencias significativas entre los tres (*) o dos (▼) momentos de evaluación. Las plantas se inocularon con el patógeno a los 59d de trasplantadas a campo. Los datos se muestran en orden descendente de la actividad enzimática, hacia la derecha (cinética) y hacia abajo (tratamientos).
inoculations (see 0d Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). Comparing the effects of the treatments at 10d, the ASM-mycorrhiza combination induced significantly higher level of enzymes than the worst treatments (control and spirulina-mycorrhizas). Moreover, all treatments that included ASM were statistically superior to the control, excepting ASM-spirulina that did not show significant differences. Minor and no significant differences were observed among the other treatments.

**Foliar damage**

All inoculated plants were infected, although the level of infection in all treatments was low. The overall average was 18.04% (1-100%) for necrotic area and

![Diagram of foliar damage](image)

**FIGURE 2.** Influence of leaf position (age) on foliar damage of the cultivar HC-3880, susceptible to early blight, treated with resistance activators and inoculated artificially with *A. solani*. The necrotic leaf area (A, in the whole experiment) and the number of spots per leaf and their size (B, in three plants per block) are shown. The bars indicate ± 1 standard error. Line indicates media value of NLA and SPL from the whole experiment. Lower leaves were from position 1-4, and upper leaves from 5-7.
22.33 for spots leaf⁻¹ (1-157 spots), with the prevalence of 1-2 mm spots. Leaf necrosis development was influenced by its position on the plant (age) as expected for A. solani-tomato interaction (18). No significant differences among treatments were observed with ASM-mycorrhizas, although more damage was observed in all the treatments with spirulina (Fig. 2 A).

Figure 2 shows older leaves to be more susceptible to the pathogen infections with more necrotic areas (A) and generally more number of spots (B). Spot size 1-2 mm predominated in all treatments, irrespective of leaf position (Fig. 2 B). It must be pointed out that during this experiment, inoculum pressure remained low, judged by the surrounding tomato fields, which showed no early blight epidemics or significant damage. The site is characterized by low early blight apparent infection rate “r” and minimum temperatures of 20.1°C (23). The maximum damage in terms of SPL and NLA matched the low-medium degrees of the scales proposed to evaluate the disease in the same province (18), where up to 20 spots were included in the lowest leaves 1-4.

The lowest percentage of NLA was achieved in the combination of ASM-mycorrhizas, while the spirulina treatment was the most affected (Fig. 2 A and B). The results showed herein suggested the ASM-mycorrhizas treatment to reduce the progression of 1-2 mm necrotic spots in the host (lowest NLA) rather than to prevent infection events (highest SPL). The opposite effect was only observed in the plants treated with Spirulina (Fig. 2).

Yield

The highest yield in this experiment was 12 kg total, equivalent to 3.8 TM.ha⁻¹ and 0.48 kg.pl⁻¹, which was achieved by coating the seeds with Mycorrhiza only. The other treatments followed with the values 10.25; 9.0; 4.95; 4.43; 3.68 and 3.30 kg for Spirulina-Mycorrhizas, Control, ASM-Spirulina, ASM-Mycorrhiza, Spirulina and ASM, respectively. However, these values are only for reference because they were the result of a block, the others were damaged at harvest by ants.

Integrated analysis

A comprehensive analysis conducted by the PCA-Biplot combination allowed reflecting simultaneously relationships among the treatments and the variables evaluated (Fig. 3). By the PCA, the first two components were found to explain a high proportion of the total variability (86.0%, see axes of Fig. 3).

**FIGURE 3.** Biplot of PCA ordination showing the relationship of seven pretreatments with chemical and biological resistance inductors in the biochemical response of the susceptible cultivar HC-3880 to A. solani inoculations in the western region of Cuba. Variables included: necrotic leaf area (NLA), number of spots per leaf (SPL), yield per plant (kg.pl⁻¹), levels of glucanase (GLUC), phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), chitinase (CHIT), lipoxygenase (LOX), peroxidase (POX), and polyphenol oxidase (PPO). Cofenetic correlation= 0.992.

The first principal component (CP1) was strongly and positively correlated with the original enzymatic variables, while NLA was also strongly correlated with these variables but negatively. This suggests that the treatments where ASM was included also tended to have more necrotic areas on the leaf, the second principal component increased with increasing yield.

Yield (kg.pl⁻¹) position in the CP2 indicated that it had a strong correlation with it, which only explained 13% of the total variability. This result showed that the ASM-mycorrhizas significantly differed from the other treatments by the NLA and by the activity of the enzymes GLUC, PAL, POX and PPO, which showed significant differences at 10d.

**DISCUSSION**

The results achieved showed that a cultivar susceptible to early blight like HC-3880 could be protected from pathogen attack by the combined application of mycorrhizas and ASM. Untreated plants showed low enzyme levels, but not necessarily lower levels of pathogen infection. In fact, ASM-mycorrhizas was the only treatment that markedly (but not significantly) differed from five of the total seven treatments, considering the NLA.

At time of transplanting, the mycorrhizas are sufficiently established (13,19). From here onwards, the VAM-plant symbiosis enters into a parasitic stage (24), in which the fungal growth rate decreases and root colonization becomes low. The highest colonization levels are reached at 60 days, coinciding with the full flowering stage of the crop, when water and nutrients are highly required and the micorrhizas-plant symbiosis is more efficiently expressed. The level of fungal colonization begins to decrease at 120 days, a fact which is closely related to host senescence (25).

The enzyme inducing effect of the micorrhizas, could be reinforced by ASM, since mycorrhizas or ASM by themselves showed no significant effects on reducing infection by A. solani. Plants pre-treated with ASM reduced severity of *Clavibacter michiganensis* subsp. *michiganensis* (Smith 1910), (Davis et al. 1984) up to 76.3% in tomato; this resistance was associated with a significant increase of the enzymes POX and CHIT (6). The mechanism of action of ASM, by either over- or under-expression, modifies genes encoding defense proteins (4). Similar studies showed that the content of phenolic compounds, PR-protein accumulation (such as CHIT and LUC) and PR-like thaumatins were observed more strongly in rice plants pretreated with ASM and inoculated with *Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae* (26). Similar experiments in Australia, but with potato in production greenhouses and a dose of 100 mg a.i. L⁻¹ of ASM, reported an average in leaf damage of three spots per plant at 67d (27). In the same experiment, the field tests showed that foliar necrosis was only 20% of NLA. For *Erysiphe cichoracearum* DC, another foliar pathogen of potato, a dose of 50 mg i.a. L⁻¹ of ASM was enough to reduce damage to less than 1% compared with 11.7% in the control under greenhouse conditions (27).

Spraying acibenzolar-S-methylol once at 55d after seeding showed promising results (Fig. 2). Despite the benefits of ASM, experiments in tomato leaf discs and different concentrations of *A. solani* inoculum showed contradictory ASM responses to pathogen control (15). Furthermore, fruit size reduction has been also reported in the tomato cultivar Easy Harvest treated with ASM, with significant dry weight reduction of about 50% in comparison with untreated plants (5). Even, the same authors indicated ASM had no measurable effect on the early and late blight of potato when applied without the accompaniment of fungicides under field conditions in the U.S.S. Greenhouse aspersions resulted in almost complete control of *Alternaria* (27); however, its severity reduction was less impressive in the field. In general, yield was low in the experiment here in reported, despite the fact that it was performed in the optimum growing season (winter). In warmer field conditions in the eastern region of Cuba and with similar early blight damage (<10%), the tomato cultivar HC-3380 yielded less than 3 TM.ha⁻¹ (28), and by far less than other cultivars with 2.20-2.75 kg.pl⁻¹ in the western region in winter (16).

Spirulina showed no favorable results regarding the reduction of early blight damage (Fig. 2 A). Spirulina applications in greenhouse tests favored the reduction of dry and fresh tomato weight (15). The concentration of microalgae is not influential, in this case 1 g.L⁻¹ was used and Fritz (15) obtained the same result in greenhouses with 2.5 and 10 g.L⁻¹. However, an increase of two enzymes (GLUC and PAL) until 10d of confronting the plants with the pathogen was confirmed (Fig. 1). The same effect was shown on both NLA and the induced enzymes by combining spirulina with ASM or mycorrhizas.

By themselves, mycorrhizas and ASM showed no noticeable effects in reducing infection by *A. solani*. However, with their combination (at the planting time and a spray at 55d), a reduction in NLA was observed. From the practical perspective, it is useful to achieve...
protection of a cultivar like HC-3880, which, even being susceptible, is prized for its agro-ecological adaptability and for consumption (29). The favorable effect of MVA on tomato yield is well known under Cuban field conditions (25) as well as in reducing infection by A. solani in terms of necrosis or chlorosis compared with non-mycorrhized plants (30). In practice, different methods of application of a phytosanitary product as well as the times and frequency of application determine the crop's response to disease (8). It has been suggested to combine acquired systemic resistance inducers to raise the levels of resistance early in the infected plants (31). The results reported here suggest that enhancement of natural resistance of tomato cultivars will help to reduce the number of fungicide applications even if they are susceptible to early blight.

In the case of A. solani, predominant pathogen populations in the agricultural ecosystem should be considered. Cuban populations of A. solani show intraspecific differences in genotyping, sensitivity to fungicides, and aggressiveness (15,32). Similarly, different isolates produce different biochemical responses depending on the cultivar (33). Excessive phosphorus availability is not favorable for VAM to express their potential in tomato (30), since levels of this element influence indirectly via reduced mycorrhizal colonization and this in turn reduces resistance.

It appears that the yield-improved modern cultivars have lost a considerable part of the basal and induced broad-spectrum resistance that characterizes their wild relatives and landraces (34). In addition to the combination of different products, it is necessary to determine the best variants in different localities. The presented results show a positive effect of combining seed coating with mycorrhizas and ASM application once the plants are established after transplantation. Activation of induced resistance by means of the six enzymes included in this study can also protect the crop from other pathogens. It remains to determine the response of tomato under more favorable conditions for the pathogen infection, such as planting outside the optimum growing season, where temperatures favor the epidemic, or in areas with high rates of infection.
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